

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/942,837	08/29/2001	Shawn R. Gettemy	PALM-3651	8549
7590 12/13/2006			EXAMINER	
WAGNER, MURABITO & HAO LLP			PIZIALI, JEFFREY J	
Third Floor				······································
Two North Market Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
San Jose, CA 95113			2629	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/942,837	GETTEMY ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Jeff Piziali	2629	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

The amendment document filed on 02 October 2006 is considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the ite

requirer item(s)	ements of 37 CFR 1.121 or 1.4. In order for the amendment document to be its required.		
THE FO	OLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE AMENDMENT DOCUME 1. Amendments to the specification: A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include markings. B. New paragraph(s) should not be underlined. C. Other	NT TO BE.NON-C	OMPLIANT:
] 2. Abstract: ☐ A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37 CFR 1.72. ☐ B. Other		
	 3. Amendments to the drawings: A. The drawings are not properly identified in the top margin as "R "Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 CFR 1.121(d). B. The practice of submitting proposed drawing correction has been showing amended figures, without markings, in compliance with C. Other 	en eliminated. Repl	acement drawings
	 4. Amendments to the claims: A. A complete listing of all of the claims is not present. B. The listing of claims does not include the text of all pending clai C. Each claim has not been provided with the proper status identified of each claim cannot be identified. Note: the status of every claumber by using one of the following status identifiers: (Origina (Previously presented), (New), (Not entered), (Withdrawn) and D. The claims of this amendment paper have not been presented in E. Other: 	ier, and as such, th aim must be indicated al), (Currently ament (Withdrawn-current	e individual status ted after its claim ded), (Canceled), lv amended).
\boxtimes	5. Other (e.g., the amendment is unsigned or not signed in accordance v See Continuation Sheet	vith 37 CFR 1.4):	
For furth	ther explanation of the amendment format required by 37 CFR 1.121, see	MPEP § 714.	
TIME P	PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:		
filed	plicant is given no new time period if the non-compliant amendment is an d after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit the non-compliant after-tite corrected amendment must be resubmitted.	after-final amendm final amendment wi	nent or an amendmen th corrections, the
corr (inc ame Qua	plicant is given one month , or thirty (30) days, whichever is longer, from the rection, if the non-compliant amendment is one of the following: a preliminal cluding a submission for a request for continued examination (RCE) under the needed within a suspension period under 37 CFR 1.103(a) or (c), and the layer action. If any of above boxes 1, to 4, are checked, the correction requin-compliant amendment in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121.	ary amendment, a i 37 CFR 1.114), a s nd an amendment fi	non-final amendment upplemental led in response to a
<u>E</u>	Extensions of time are available under 37 CFR 1.136(a) only if the non-camendment or an amendment filed in response to a Quayle action.	ompliant amendme	nt is a non-final
	Failure to timely respond to this notice will result in: Abandonment of the application if the non-compliant amendment is a right filed in response to a Quayle action; or Non-entry of the amendment if the non-compliant amendment is a preliamendment. Legal Instruments Examiner (LIE), if applicable		

Continuation of 5 Other:

First and foremost, the applicant is cordially thanked for the 'Response to Restriction Requirement' filed 2 October 2006. However, at least one non-compliance error has been discovered in the aforementioned response, requiring attention before examination may continue.

The 'Remarks' section of the response states, "Applicants elect without traverse Species 2B for prosecution on the merits. As such, Claims 4, 7, 14, 16, 20 and 23, which are drawn to non-elected species, are herein withdrawn from consideration" (see Page 7, Bottom Paragraph of the 'Response to Restriction Requirement' filed 2 October 2006).

However, the response does not anywhere provide a listing of all claims readable on elected Species 2B. As explained in the Restriction Requirement (mailed 24 August 2006), "Applicants are advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species and sub-species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added" (see Page 3, 2nd to Last Paragraph of the Restriction Requirement mailed 24 August 2006).

Although the Applicants' decision to withdraw claims 4, 7, 14, 16, 20 and 23 would suggest that the remaining claims are considered to be drawn to Species 2B; still pending claims 8, 17, and 24 are dependent respectively upon withdrawn claims 7, 16, and 23. This renders it unclear whether claims 8, 17, and 24 are drawn to Species 2B and were meant to be elected by the applicants -- or whether said claims are nonelected and should be withdrawn. The Applicants are respectfully requested to identify which pending claims are specifically drawn to elected Species 2B.

By such reasoning, this Notice of Non-Compliance is deemed necessary and proper at this time.

Please note: The response has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible non-compliance errors. If additional issues of non-compliance are discovered at the time of a subsequent response, yet another Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment will be necessitated. Applicants' cooperation is requested in correcting any other errors of which applicant may become aware.

Jeff Piziali

11 December 2006.